

FAN Executive Board Meeting

Monthly Minutes

January 16, 2016

6:00 PM

Washington Park Community Building

Facilitator: Morgan Greenwood, Co-Chair

Recorder: Anya Dobrowolski, Secretary

Board

Attendees:

Board Member	Present	Arrival	Absent
Lisa Arkin	X	6:55	
Carlos Barrera	X	6:00	
Tim Blood	X	6:00	
Nancy Classen	X	6:40	
Bernie Corrigan	X	6:00	
Anya Dobrowolski	X	6:10	
Lena Houston-Davisson	X	6:00	
Greg Giesy	X	6:00	
Morgan Greenwood	X	6:00	
Margie James	X	6:00	
Deb Jones			
Nancy Ellen Locke	X	6:00	
Matt Lutter	X	6:00	
Pam Woodell	X	6:00	

Guests: Rene Kane, Eric Brown, Terri Harding, Jennifer Lleras Van Der Haeghen

Summary of Motions & Action Items

Motions	Moved by/Seconded	Vote (for-against-abstain)
✓ Approve mtg minutes w/changes to CSEN membership as stipulated	Giesy/Houston-Davisson	8-0-3
✓ FAN to support neighborhood propagation fair including \$150 from neighborhood funds. Funds to support outreach, event materials	Lutter/Corrigan	11-0-0
✓ South Willamette Initiative: We agree that 3-5 business owners or principals and/or commercial property owners should be on planning team, in the Refinement Plan Zone. (Note: Businesses on south side of 29th are not included within the planning zone although outreach to them is advisable). We agree that 3-5 residents and/or residential property owners including at least two renters within the red and green area should be appointed to the committee. We recommend that four ex-officio members join the team, representing each of the adjacent neighborhoods (FAN, SHINA, SEN, Amazon). Finally we recommend requesting that Ward 1 & 2 City Councilors, Taylor and Semple, also serve on the planning team as ex-officio members. This will give us a planning team of 12-16 members total, with 6-10 voting members.	Geisey/Corrigan	11-0-0
✓ South Willamette Initiative: We agree that the planning team should support the City of Eugene's triple bottom line goals and shall consult,	Arkin/Corrigan	10-1-0

City staff have not currently allocated a budget for the project. That will be determined after the planning process has been outlined.

The time commitment required from planning team members also remains TBD, although it is likely to be in the ballpark of two meetings monthly, each lasting 2-3 hours. The planning team's voting members were suggested by staff currently to be composed of people living, owning properties (commercial and residential), and business owners or principals within the boundaries defined on the map of the zone under consideration provided by City staff. There was some concern expressed about being able to find enough participants living within those boundaries but the board decided to stick with those boundaries for now with the understanding that we may need to draw from some outside of the zone if finding planning team members within those stipulations is proves difficult. Concern was also raised about the capacity of the planning team to execute this process if members lack experience in leading these sorts of processes.

The board agreed that we are not yet ready to begin outreach for planning team members but a few different methods for outreach were discussed at a cursory level. City staff in the Human Rights and Neighborhood Involvement office can provide assistance with choosing outreach strategies and Planning Staff have some contact lists that we might be able to use as well. *A discussion about the role of an outside facilitator took place but no formal motions were made regarding this role. Mr. Giesy suggested that an outside facilitator would slow down the process, citing sentiments expressed by a participant in a similar process which engaged an outside facilitator.*

An initial step in the process will be to determine the goals of the planning team. Some shared sentiment was found around trying to create a plan that will appeal to the "middle of the bell curve" and that we can start with addressing some of the "low-hanging fruit" issues, about which there is general agreement amongst neighbors. We also discussed some of the benefits and drawbacks of different outcomes from the process. An aspirational outcome would be faster and less expensive but less concrete while code changes would require more time and planning team input and would more expensive but would result in more concrete changes.

Discussions about creating a planning team that equitably represents the interests of renters and homeowners was discussed as well as some board member's concerns about excluding those living outside the zone from being voting members.

The FAN Board approved the following motions to guide City staff regarding FAN's intentions for planning team involvement:

- Motion:
 - We agree that 3-5 business owners or principals and/or commercial property owners should be on planning team, in the Refinement Plan Zone. (Note: Businesses on south side of 29th are not included within the planning zone although outreach to them is advisable). We agree that 3-5 residents and/or residential property owners including at least two renters within the red and green area should be appointed to the committee. We recommend that four ex-officio members join the team, representing each of the adjacent neighborhoods (FAN, SHINA, SEN, Amazon). Finally we recommend requesting that Ward 1 & 2 City Councilors, Taylor and Semple, also serve on the planning team as ex-officio members. This will give us a planning team of 12-16 members total, with 6-10 voting members.
 - This Giesey/Corrigan motion passed unanimously [11-0-0]
- Motion:
 - South Willamette Initiative: We agree that the planning team should support the City of Eugene's triple bottom line goals and shall consult, as needed, with the City's Sustainability Commission, Human Right's Committee, Housing Policy Board, Planning Commission and other members of the Triple Bottom Line sounding board.
 - This Arkin/Corrigan motion passed, with one "no" vote [10-1-0]

Time Adjourned: 9:00 pm